



## CHERISH-DE Escalator Fund Peer Review

<b>Title of Project/activity</b>		
<b>CHERISH-DE unique code</b>		
<b>Peer Reviewer name</b>		
<b>Peer Reviewer department/ college</b>		
	<b>Score* (0 – 10)</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Has the research question/ objective been clearly defined and is it worth pursuing?		
Could this project have a significant impact upon the university's interdisciplinary research culture?		
Does the proposed activity fit the CHERISH ethos of placing humans at the heart of technology and engaging in impact-led research?		
Does the proposal fit any of the three themes of the CHERISH-DE Centre		
Are there specific, tangible academic and non-academic outcomes listed for the project/activity? Have these been explained sufficiently?		
Will this project inspire colleagues, especially ECRs, with interdisciplinary working?		
Does this project have the scope to carry on beyond the initial project?		
Does the external party actively support the work?		

Considering the amount being requested, is the project/activity value for money?		
<b>Total Score</b>		
<b>Your overall assessment – should CHERISH-DE fund this project and why/why not?</b>	Yes/No	

**\*Scoring procedure:**

**Please score each section on a scale of 0-10** - where lower numbers indicate, for example, limited positive impact upon the university's research culture, a limited return on investment or insufficient, specified outcomes. 10 would indicate excellent value for money, clarity in defining the research question/objective, a significant impact upon the university's research culture.