



CHERISH-DE Escalator Fund Peer Review

The scores for each criterion will be used only to identify the relative strengths and weaknesses of each bid. Total scores will not be used when making funding decisions.

Title of Project/activity		
CHERISH-DE unique code		
Peer Reviewer name		
Peer Reviewer department/college		
Peer Reviewer email		
	Score* (0 – 10)	Comments
Has the research question/objective been clearly defined?		
Could this project have a significant impact upon the university's interdisciplinary research culture?		
Does the proposed activity meet the objectives of the CHERISH-DE centre?		
Does the proposal fit any of the three themes of the CHERISH-DE Centre		
Are there specific, tangible outcomes listed for the project/activity? Have they been explained sufficiently?		
Will this project inspire colleagues, especially ECRs, with interdisciplinary working?		
Does this project have the scope to carry on beyond the initial project?		
How will the external party actively contribute to and support the work?		
Considering the amount being requested, is the project/activity value for money?		

Total Score		
Your overall assessment – should CHERISH-DE fund this project	Yes/No	

***Scoring procedure:**

Please score each section on a scale of 0-10 - where lower numbers indicate, for example, limited positive impact upon the university's research culture, a limited return on investment or insufficient, specified outcomes. 10 would indicate excellent value for money, clarity in defining the research question/objective, a significant impact upon the university's research culture.